City of Allentown, Pennsylvania

Single Audit Report

Year Ended December 31, 2016 with Independent Auditor's Reports

CITY OF ALLENTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA SINGLE AUDIT REPORT

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with | |
|--|---------|
| Government Auditing Standards | 1 - 2 |
| Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance | 3 -6 |
| Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards | 7 - 9 |
| Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards | 10 |
| Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs | 11 - 17 |
| Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings | 18 - 19 |

<u>REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE</u> <u>AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANICAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN</u> <u>ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS</u>

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Ed Pawlowski, Mayor, and Members of City Council City of Allentown, Pennsylvania

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Allentown, Pennsylvania (City), as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated June 27, 2017. Our report includes a reference to other auditors who audited the financial statements of the Redevelopment Authority of the City of Allentown and the Allentown Parking Authority, as described in our report on the City's financial statements. This report does not include the results of the other auditors' testing of internal control over financial reporting or compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those auditors. The financial statements of the Redevelopment Authority of the City of Allentown and the Allentown Parking Authority were not audited in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and, accordingly, this report does not include reporting on internal control over financial reporting or instances of reportable noncompliance associated with the Redevelopment Authority of the City of Allentown and the Allentown Parking Authority.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

| Harrisburg | Philadelphia | Pittsburgh | Greensburg |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 830 Sir Thomas Court, Suite 100 | 2370 York Road, Suite A-5 | 3800 McKnight E. Drive, Suite 3805 | 210 Tollgate Hill Road |
| Harrisburg, PA 17109 | Jamison, Pa 18929 | Pittsburgh, PA 15237 | Greensburg, PA 15601 |
| 717.561.9200 Fax 717.561.9202 | 215.918.2277 Fax 215.918.2302 | 412.367.7102 Fax 412.367.7103 | 724.834.2151 Fax 724.834.5969 |

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Zelenholeho Axeliod LLC

ZELENKOFSKE AXELROD LLC

Jamison, Pennsylvania June 27, 2017

<u>REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH FEDERAL MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON</u> INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Ed Pawlowski, Mayor, and Members of City Council City of Allentown, Pennsylvania

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the' City of Allentown, Pennsylvania's (City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2016. The City' major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 *U.S Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

Basis for Qualified Opinion on Highway Planning and Construction and Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants

As described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, the City did not comply with requirements regarding the following:

| Harrisburg | Philadelphia | Pittsburgh | Greensburg |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 830 Sir Thomas Court, Suite 100 | 2370 York Road, Suite A-5 | 3800 McKnight E. Drive, Suite 3805 | 210 Tollgate Hill Road |
| Harrisburg, PA 17109 | Jamison, Pa 18929 | Pittsburgh, PA 15237 | Greensburg, PA 15601 |
| 717.561.9200 Fax 717.561.9202 | 215.918.2277 Fax 215.918.2302 | 412.367.7102 Fax 412.367.7103 | 724.834.2151 Fax 724.834.5969 |

| Finding # | CFDA # | Program (or Cluster) Name | Compliance Requirement | | | |
|-----------|--------|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2016-001 | 20.205 | Highway Planning and Construction | Cash Management | | | |
| 2016-002 | 14.218 | Community Development Block Earmarking | | | | |
| | | Grants/Entitlement Grants | | | | |
| 2016-003 | 14.218 | Community Development Block | Reporting | | | |
| | | Grants/Entitlement Grants | | | | |
| 2016-004 | 14.218 | Community Development Block | Subrecipient Monitoring | | | |
| | | Grants/Entitlement Grants | | | | |
| 2016-005 | 14.218 | Community Development Block | Procurement and Suspension | | | |
| | | Grants/Entitlement Grants and Debarment | | | | |
| 2016-006 | 14.239 | HOME Investment Partnerships Program Matching and Earmarking | | | | |
| 2016-007 | 14.239 | HOME Investment Partnerships Program Procurement and Suspension | | | | |
| | | and Debarment | | | | |
| 2016-008 | 14.239 | HOME Investment Partnerships Program Reporting | | | | |
| 2016-009 | 14.239 | HOME Investment Partnerships Program Subrecipient Monitoring | | | | |

Qualified Opinion on Highway Planning and Construction and Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the Basis for Qualified Opinion paragraph, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its Highway Planning and Construction, Community Development Block Grant/Entitlement Grants, and HOME Investment Partnerships Programs major programs for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Other Matter

The City's responses to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The City's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance with a type of compliance control over compliance with a type of compliance is a deficiency or compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as Findings 2016-001, 2016-002, 2016-003, 2016-004, 2016-005, 2016-006, 2016-007, 2016-008, and 2016-009, that we consider to be material weaknesses.

The City's responses to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The City's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We have issued our report thereon dated June 27, 2017, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. We did not audit the financial statements of the Redevelopment Authority of the City of Allentown or the Allentown Parking Authority. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for the purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other addition procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Telenholake Axelind LLC

ZELENKOFSKE AXELROD LLC

Jamison, Pennsylvania August 9, 2017

CITY OF ALLENTOWN SINGLE AUDIT REPORT SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

| Federal Grantor/Pass-through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title | Federal CFDA Number | Grant/ Pass-Through Grantor's Number | (Accrued)/ Deferred Revenue at December 31, 2015 | Federal Grant Receipts | Federal Grant Expenditures | (Accrued)/ Deferred Revenue at December 31, 2016 | Passed Through to Subrecipients |
|--|---------------------------|--|---|------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development: | | | | | | | |
| CDBG/Entitlement Grants Cluster: | | | | | | | |
| Community Development Block Grant/Entitlement Grants | 14.218 | B-09-MC-42-0002 | \$- | \$- | \$ 8,074.00 | \$ (8,074.00) | \$- |
| Community Development Block Grant/Entitlement Grants | 14.218 | B-11-MC-42-0002 | (3,305) | - | (3,305) | - | - |
| Community Development Block Grant/Entitlement Grants | 14.218 | B-12-MC-42-0002 | 250,000 | - | 250,000 | - | - |
| Community Development Block Grant/Entitlement Grants | 14.218 | B-13-MC-42-0002 | (810,439) | 1,445,696 | 635,257 | - | - |
| Community Development Block Grant/Entitlement Grants | 14.218 | B-14-MC-42-0002 | 351,426 | - | 397,079 | (45,653) | 391,470 |
| Community Development Block Grant/Entitlement Grants | 14.218 | B-15-MC-42-0002 | (110,908) | 1,200,700 | 1,146,524 | (56,732) | 945,129 |
| Community Development Block Grant/Entitlement Grants | 14.218 | B-16-MC-42-0002 | 92,644 | 504,665 | 783,040 | (185,731) | 670,307 |
| Subtotal 14.218 | | | (230,582) | 3,151,061 | 3,216,669 | (296,190) | 2,006,906 |
| Emergency Shelter Grants Program | 14.231 | E-12-MC-42-0011 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Emergency Shelter Grants Program | 14.231 | E-13-MC-42-0011 | 175,467 | - | 175,467 | - | 169,568 |
| Emergency Shelter Grants Program | 14.231 | E-14-MC-42-0011 | (21,803) | 24,570 | 2,767 | - | - |
| Emergency Shelter Grants Program | 14.231 | E-15-MC-42-0011 | (183,854) | 183,854 | - | - | - |
| Emergency Shelter Grants Program | 14.231 | E-16-MC-42-0011 | (13,647) | 13,647 | - | - | - |
| Subtotal 14.231 | | | (43,837) | 222,071 | 178,234 | | 169,568 |
| HOME Investment Partnerships Program | 14.239 | M-09-MC-42-0200 | (86,390) | 86,390 | - | - | - |
| HOME Investment Partnerships Program | 14.239 | M-10-MC-42-0200 | (57,143) | 84,143 | 54,973 | (27,973) | |
| HOME Investment Partnerships Program | 14.239 | M-11-MC-42-0200 | 35,000 | - | 159,318 | (124,318) | |
| HOME Investment Partnerships Program | 14.239 | M-12-MC-42-0200 | 10,626 | 40,427 | 51,053 | - | - |
| HOME Investment Partnerships Program | 14.239 | M-13-MC-42-0200 | (127,410) | 129,047 | 425,160 | (423,523) | 423,523 |
| HOME Investment Partnerships Program | 14.239 | M-14-MC-42-0200 | 10,681 | - | 536,710 | (526,029) | 526,029 |
| HOME Investment Partnerships Program | 14.239 | M-15-MC-42-0200 | - | - | 183,950 | (183,950) | 183,950 |
| Subtotal 14.239 | | | (214,636) | 340,007 | 1,411,164 | (1,285,793) | 1,133,502 |
| Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban | | | | · · · · · · | | | |
| Development | | | (489,055) | 3,713,139 | 4,806,067 | (1,581,983) | 3,309,976 |
| U.S. Department of Interior: | | | | | | | |
| Passed through the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission: | | | | | | | |
| Historic Preservation Fund Grants-In-Aid | 15.904 | 42-15-131238 | (4,711) | 10,000 | 5,289 | - | - |
| Historic Preservation Fund Grants-In-Aid | 15.904 | 42-16-141301 | - | - | 6,771 | (6,771) | |
| Total U.S. Department of Interior | | | (4,711) | 10,000 | 12,060 | (6,771) | - |

CITY OF ALLENTOWN SINGLE AUDIT REPORT SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

| Federal Grantor/Pass-through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title | Federal CFDA Number | Grant/ Pass-Through Grantor's Number | (Accrued)/ Deferred Revenue at December 31, 2015 | Federal Grant Receipts | Federal Grant Expenditures | (Accrued)/ Deferred Revenue at December 31, 2016 | Passed Through to Subrecipients |
|---|---------------------------|--|---|------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| U.S. Department of Justice: | Number | Grantor s Number | December 51, 2015 | Receipts | Experialates | December 31, 2010 | Subrecipients |
| Passed through the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, | | | | | | | |
| Pennsylvania Department of Justice: | | | | | | | |
| Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants | 16.710 | 2011UMWX0157 | (22,814) | 54.818 | 32.004 | | |
| Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants | 16.710 | 2014UMWX0116 | (22,014) | 44,010 | 58,878 | (14,868) | - |
| Subtotal 16.710 | 10.710 | 20140110110 | (22,814) | 98,828 | 90,882 | (14,868) | |
| JAG Program Cluster: | | | (22,011) | 00,020 | 00,002 | (11,000) | |
| Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program | 16.738 | 2015-DE-BX-K015 | - | 204.152 | 204,152 | - | - |
| Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program | 16.738 | 2015-DJ-BX-0718 | (58,050) | 58.050 | | - | - |
| Subtotal 16.738 | | | (58,050) | 262,202 | 204,152 | | - |
| Total U.S. Department of Justice | | | (80,864) | 361,030 | 295,034 | (14,868) | |
| U.S. Department of Transportation: | | | | | | () | |
| Passed through the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, | | | | | | | |
| Pennsylvania Department of Transportation: | | | | | | | |
| Highway Planning and Construction (Federal-Aid Highway | | | | | | | |
| Program) | 20.205 | 050278 | (2,902,437) | 6,410,138 | 4,957,603 | (1,449,902) | - |
| Highway Planning and Construction (Federal-Aid Highway | | | | | | | |
| Program) | 20.205 | 050286 | (785) | 96,836 | 98,504 | (2,453) | - |
| Highway Planning and Construction (Federal-Aid Highway | | | | | | | |
| Program) | 20.205 | 057343 | (18,096) | 18,096 | - | - | - |
| Highway Planning and Construction (Federal-Aid Highway | | | | | | | |
| Program) | 20.205 | 057416 | | 62,545 | 62,545 | | 62,545 |
| Subtotal 20.205 | | | (2,921,318) | 6,587,615 | 5,118,652 | (1,452,355) | 62,545 |
| Alcohol Impaired Driving Countermeasures: | | | | | | | |
| National Priority Safety Programs | 20.616 | IPD-2015-Allentown-00030 | (3,263) | 3,263 | - | - | - |
| National Priority Safety Programs | 20.616 | IPD-2016-Allentown-00039 | - | 5,122 | 12,646 | (7,524) | - |
| National Priority Safety Programs | 20.616 | IPD 2017-S Whitehall-0014 | | - | 2,453 | (2,453) | |
| Subtotal 20.616 | | | (3,263) | 8,385 | 15,099 | (9,977) | - |
| Technical Assistance Grants | 20.710 | DTPH5615GPPT04 | - | 89,500 | 89,500 | - | - |
| Total U.S. Department of Transportation | | | (2,924,581) | 6,685,500 | 5,223,251 | (1,462,332) | 62,545 |
| U.S. Department of Environmental Protection: | | | | | | | |
| Brownfields Assessment and Cleanup Cooperative | | | | | | | |
| Agreements | 66.818 | BF 983975-01-0 | (719) | 819 | 100 | | - |
| Total U.S. Department of Environmental Protection | | | (719) | 819 | 100 | - | - |

CITY OF ALLENTOWN SINGLE AUDIT REPORT SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

| Federal Grantor/Pass-through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title | Federal CFDA Number | Grant/ Pass-Through Grantor's Number | (Accrued)/ Deferred Revenue at December 31, 2015 | Federal Grant Receipts | Federal Grant Expenditures | (Accrued)/ Deferred Revenue at December 31, 2016 | Passed Through to Subrecipients |
|---|---------------------------|--|---|------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| U.S. Department of Health and Human Services: | | | | | | | |
| Passed through the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, | | | | | | | |
| Pennsylvania Department of Health and Human Services: | | | | | | | |
| Public Health Emergency Preparedness | 93.069 | 4100069761 | (44,939) | 123,332 | 78,393 | - | - |
| Public Health Emergency Preparedness | 93.069 | 4100073292 | - | 34,039 | 68,044 | (34,005) | - |
| Subtotal 93.069 | | | (44,939) | 157,371 | 146,437 | (34,005) | - |
| Hospital Preparedness Program (HPP) and Public Health | | | | | | | |
| Emergency Preparedness (PHEP) Aligned Cooperative | | | | | | | |
| Agreements | 93.074 | 4100069761 | (13,361) | 36,667 | 23,306 | - | - |
| Hospital Preparedness Program (HPP) and Public Health | | | | | | | |
| Emergency Preparedness (PHEP) Aligned Cooperative | | | | | | | |
| Agreements | 93.074 | 4100073292 | | 7,957 | 15,906 | (7,949) | - |
| Subtotal 93.074 | | | (13,361) | 44,624 | 39,212 | (7,949) | - |
| Project Grants and Cooperative Agreements for | | | | | | | |
| Tuberculosis Control Programs | 93.116 | 4100065359 | (2,076) | 4,047 | 1,971 | - | - |
| Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Projects | | | | | | | |
| of Regional and National Significance | 93.243 | 16-DA-33 | - | 28,280 | 43,960 | (15,680) | - |
| Immunization Cooperative agreements | 93.268 | 4100067447 | (76,383) | 76,383 | - | - | - |
| Immunization Cooperative agreements | 93.268 | 4100070552 | | 123,150 | 142,776 | (19,626) | - |
| Subtotal 93.268 | | | (76,383) | 199,533 | 142,776 | (19,626) | - |
| National Bioterrorism Hospital Preparedness Program | 93.889 | 4100069761 | (2,429) | 6,666 | 4,237 | | - |
| National Bioterrorism Hospital Preparedness Program | 93.889 | 4100073292 | - | 2,210 | 4,418 | (2,208) | - |
| Subtotal 93.889 | | | (2,429) | 8,876 | 8,655 | (2,208) | - |
| HIV Prevention Activities - Health Department Based | 93.940 | 4100060799 | (34,849) | 94,727 | 88,501 | (28,623) | - |
| Preventive Health Services Sexually Transmitted Diseases | | | | | | | |
| Control | 93.977 | 5H25PS001401-05 | (3,605) | 6,680 | 5,000 | (1,925) | - |
| Preventative Health and Health Services Block Grant | 93.991 | 4100067119 | (132,312) | 409,567 | 320,183 | (42,928) | - |
| Maternal and Child Health Block Grant to the States | 93.994 | 4100065680 | (56,346) | 162,256 | 124,805 | (18,895) | - |
| Maternal and Child Health Block Grant to the States | 93.994 | 4100073550 | - | - | 54,259 | (54,259) | - |
| Subtotal 93.994 | | | (56,346) | 162,256 | 179,064 | (73,154) | - |
| Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services | | | (366,300) | 1,115,961 | 975,759 | (226,098) | - |
| Federal Emergency Management Agency: | | | | | | | |
| Passed through the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, | | | | | | | |
| Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency: | | | | | | | |
| Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially | | | | | | | |
| Declared Disasters) | 97.036 | FEMA-4025-DR-PA-077-02000-00 | (66,731) | - | - | (66,731) | - |
| | | FEMA-4267-DR-PA | - | - | 310,173 | (310,173) | - |
| Assistance to Firefighters Grant | 97.044 | EMW-2014-FO-05218 | - | 116,087 | 116,087 | - | - |
| Total Federal Emergency Management Agency | | | (66,731) | 116,087 | 426,260 | (376,904) | |
| Total Expenditures of Federal Awards | | | \$ (3,932,961) | \$ 12,002,536 | \$ 11,738,531 | \$ (3,668,956) | \$ 3,372,521 |
| | | | | | | | |

See accompanying notes to schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

CITY OF ALLENTOWN SINGLE AUDIT REPORT NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

1. BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (Schedule) presents the expenditures of all federal awards programs of the City of Allentown, Pennsylvania (City) using the modified accrual basis of accounting.

2. RELATIONSHIP TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the City's basic financial statements.

Such expenditures are recognized following, as applicable, either the cost principles in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations,* or the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.

The City has elected not to use the 10-percent de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

- I. Summary of Audit Results
 - 1. Type of auditor's report issued: Unmodified
 - 2. Internal control over financial reporting:

Material weakness(es) identified? <u>Yes X</u>No Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)? <u>Yes X</u>None reported

- 3. Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? <u>Yes X</u>No
- 4. Internal control over major programs:

Material weakness(es) identified? <u>X</u>Yes <u>No</u> Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)? <u>Yes X</u>None reported

- 5. Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Qualified
- 6. Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Uniform Guidance? X Yes No
- 7. Major Programs:

| CFDA Numbers | Name of Federal Program |
|--------------|---|
| 14.218 | Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants |
| 14.239 | HOME Investment Partnerships Program |
| 20.205 | Highway Planning and Construction |

- 8. Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: \$750,000
- 9. Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? ____Yes X_No

II. Findings related to the financial statements which are required to be reported in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*.

None noted.

III. Findings and questioned costs for federal awards.

Finding 2016-001: Cash Management

Repeat Finding – See Finding 2015-003

U.S. Department of Transportation – An award was passed through the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania Department of Transportation – Highway Planning and Construction (Federal-Aid Highway Program) (CFDA #20.205).

Condition: Three of the seven reimbursement requests selected for testing under the Highway Planning and Construction program contained invoices for allowable costs that were not paid to vendors within ten calendar days of the date of receipt of funds from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (Commonwealth).

Criteria: The General Reimbursement Agreements for Federal-Aid Highway Projects entered into with the Commonwealth, acting through the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation require the City to pay the consultants and contractors within ten calendar days of the date of the Commonwealth's remittance.

The U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Compliance Supplement* states that when funds are received, recipients must follow procedures to minimize the time elapsing between the receipt of funds and disbursements to vendors.

Cause: The City does not have controls in place to ensure that invoices are paid in accordance with the General Reimbursement Agreements or the OMB *Compliance Supplement*.

Effect: The City is not in compliance with cash management requirements. Failure to comply with grant award requirements could jeopardize future funding.

Questioned Costs: This finding does not result in questioned costs.

Recommendation: The City should establish controls to minimize the time elapsing between the receipt of federal funds and disbursements to vendors (defined as ten calendar days by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation).

Management's Response: The City understands the importance of complying with cash management requirements relating to General Reimbursement Agreements for Federal-Aid Highway Projects entered into with the Commonwealth acting through the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. The City will review its current procedures and establish additional controls to comply with the federal award requirements.

Finding 2016-002: Earmarking

Repeat Finding – See Finding 2015-004

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development – Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants (CFDA #14.218).

Condition: The City did not expend at least 70% of the grant award for grant B-14-MC-42-002 in three years; as of December 31, 2016 the City has spent 0% of the grant award.

Criteria: Pursuant to 24 CFR sections 570.200(a)(3) and 570.208(a), not less than 70% of the grant funds must be used over a period of up to three years, as specified by the grantee in its certification, for activities that benefit low- and moderate-income persons.

Cause: There were not adequate procedures in place to ensure that the allotted earmarking percentages were met.

Effect: The City is not in compliance with earmarking requirements. Failure to comply with grant aware requirements could jeopardize future funding.

Questioned Costs: This finding does not result in questioned costs.

Recommendation: We recommend that the City implement procedures to periodically evaluate the amounts expended for each grant award to ensure that at least 70% of the grant award is expended within three years.

Management's Response: The City will take this recommendation and establish protocols to ensure all timeliness requirements are met. These protocols will include monthly expenditure meetings and more frequent re-programming of funds for projects which have been completed with funds remaining and/or stalled projects.

Finding 2016-003: Reporting

Repeat Finding – See Finding 2015-005

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development – Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants (CFDA #14.218).

Condition: The City did not complete Form HUD 60002, *Section 3 Summary Report, Economic Opportunities for Low- and Very Low-Income Persons* for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Criteria: Pursuant to 24 CFR Sections 135.3(a)(1) and 135.90, each recipient that administers covered public and Indian housing assistance, regardless of the amount expended, and each recipient that administers covered housing and community development assistance in excess of \$200,000 in a program year, must submit Form HUD 60002 information using the Section 3 Summary Reporting System.

Cause: The City does not have procedures in place to ensure that Form HUD 60002 is completed and submitted in accordance with federal reporting requirements.

Effect: The City did not file the Form HUD 60002 for the year ended December 31, 2016, as required. This report is a required submission and omission of the report could jeopardize future funding.

Questioned Costs: This finding does not result in questioned costs.

Recommendation: We recommend that the City implement procedures to ensure that all required reports are completed.

Management's Response: The City will take this recommendation and review current procedures and implement revised procedures based on the filing requirements.

Finding 2016-004: Subrecipient Monitoring

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development – Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants (CFDA #14.218).

Condition: The City did not properly monitor two out of five subrecipients for the year.

Criteria: Pursuant to 24 CFR Section 92.500 the City is responsible to conduct reviews to determine subrecipients are expending money in accordance with 24 CFR Section 92.504(c).

Cause: The City does not have procedures in place to ensure that subrecipient monitoring is completed in a timely manner.

Effect: The City did not timely review subrecipients to ensure funds were spent accordingly.

Questioned Costs: This finding does not result in questioned costs.

Recommendation: We recommend that the City implement procedures to ensure that all required subrecipient monitoring requirements are met.

Management's Response: The City has filled the HUD Grants Monitor position which was previously vacant. The required reviews and checklists will be completed moving forward.

Finding 2016-005: Procurement and Suspension and Debarment

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development – Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants (CFDA #14.218).

Condition: Two of the five vendors or contractors selected for testing under the CDBG program did not have proper language in the contract to verify they were neither suspended nor debarred. Furthermore, the City did not verify they were neither suspended nor debarred.

Criteria: The OMB Compliance Supplement requires the City to verify whether vendors or subcontractors are not suspended or debarred.

The U.S. Office of Management and Budget OMB *Compliance Supplement* states vendors must not be suspended or debarred.

Cause: The City does not have controls in place to ensure that vendors or contractors are not suspended or debarred in accordance with the OMB *Compliance Supplement*.

Effect: The City is not in compliance with OMB procurement and suspension and debarment requirements. Failure to comply with grant award requirements could jeopardize future funding.

Questioned Costs: This finding does not result in questioned costs.

Recommendation: The City should establish controls to verify vendors are not suspended or debarred.

Management's Response: The City understands the importance of complying with procurement and suspension and debarment requirements in accordance with the *Compliance Supplement*. The City will review its current procedures and establish additional controls to comply with the federal award requirements.

Finding 2016-006: Matching and Earmarking

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development – HOME Investment Partnerships Program (CFDA #14.239).

Condition: The City could not provide any documentation to ensure matching or earmarking requirements were met.

Criteria: Pursuant to 24 CFR Sections 92.218 through 92.220 and 92.222, and 92.508, the City must provide matching contributions of 25% of HOME funds drawn down during the year. Pursuant to Section 92.300 of 24 CFR the City must invest at least 15% of each year's HOME allocation in projects which are owned, developed, or sponsored by non-profit organizations which qualify as CHDOs. Pursuant to 24 CFR Section 92.102(b), HOME funds may not spend more than 10% for administrative and planning costs.

Cause: There were not adequate procedures in place to ensure that the matching and allotted earmarking percentages were met.

Effect: The City is not in compliance with matching earmarking requirements. Failure to comply with grant award requirements could jeopardize future funding.

Questioned Costs: This finding does not result in questioned costs.

Recommendation: We recommend that the City implement procedures to ensure funds are appropriately matched and earmarked.

Management's Response: The City will take this recommendation and establish protocols to ensure all matching and earmarking requirements are met.

Finding 2016-007: Procurement and Suspension and Debarment

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development – HOME Investment Partnerships Program (CFDA #14.239).

Condition: Two of the four vendors or contractors selected for testing under the HOME program did not have proper language in the contract to verify they were neither suspended nor debarred. Furthermore, the City did not verify they were neither suspended nor debarred.

Criteria: The OMB *Compliance Supplement* requires the City to verify whether vendors or subcontractors are not suspended or debarred.

The U.S. Office of Management and Budget OMB *Compliance Supplement* states vendors must not be suspended or debarred.

Cause: The City does not have controls in place to ensure that vendors or contractors are not suspended or debarred in accordance with the OMB *Compliance Supplement*.

Effect: The City is not in compliance with procurement and suspension and debarment requirements. Failure to comply with grant award requirements could jeopardize future funding.

Questioned Costs: This finding does not result in questioned costs.

Recommendation: The City should establish controls to verify vendors are not suspended or debarred.

Management's Response: The City understands the importance of complying with OMB's procurement and suspension and debarment requirements in accordance with the *Compliance Supplement*. The City will review its current procedures and establish additional controls to comply with the federal award requirements.

Finding 2016-008: Reporting

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development – HOME Investment Partnerships Program (CFDA #14.239).

Condition: The City did not complete Form HUD 60002, *Section 3 Summary Report, Economic Opportunities for Low- and Very Low-Income Persons* for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Criteria: Pursuant to 24 CFR Sections 135.3(a)(1) and 135.90, each recipient that administers covered public and Indian housing assistance, regardless of the amount expended, and each recipient that administers covered housing and community development assistance in excess of \$200,000 in a program year, must submit Form HUD 60002 information using the Section 3 Summary Reporting System.

Cause: The City does not have procedures in place to ensure that Form HUD 60002 is completed and submitted in accordance with federal reporting requirements.

Effect: The City did not file the Form HUD 60002 for the year ended December 31, 2016, as required. This report is a required submission and omission of the report could jeopardize future funding.

Questioned Costs: This finding does not result in questioned costs.

Recommendation: We recommend that the City implement procedures to ensure that all required reports are completed.

Management's Response: The City will take this recommendation and review current procedures and implement revised procedures based on the filing requirements.

Finding 2016-009: Subrecipient Monitoring

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development – HOME Investment Partnerships Program (CFDA #14.239).

Condition: The City did not properly monitor two out of three subrecipients for the year.

Criteria: Pursuant to 24 CFR Section 92.500 the City is responsible to conduct reviews to determine subrecipients are expending money in accordance with 24 CFR Section 92.504(c).

Cause: The City does not have procedures in place to ensure that subrecipient monitoring is completed in a timely manner.

Effect: The City did not timely review subrecipients to ensure funds were spent accordingly.

Questioned Costs: This finding does not result in questioned costs.

Recommendation: We recommend that the City implement procedures to ensure that all required subrecipient monitoring requirements are met.

Management's Response: The City has filled the HUD Grants Monitor position which was previously vacant. The required reviews and checklists will be completed moving forward.

CITY OF ALLENTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA SINGLE AUDIT REPORT SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding 2015-001: Financial Reporting

Condition: During the audit process, various material adjustments were proposed by the auditor to the City of Allentown, Pennsylvania (City). These adjustments were necessary to correct the City's recording of receivables, capital assets, payables, revenues, expenditures/expenses, interfund activity, and the new debt issuance in several of the funds.

Current Status: This finding has been corrected in 2016.

Finding 2015-002: Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Condition: The City was unable to provide an accurate schedule of expenditures of federal awards for the year ended December 31, 2015. The amount reported as federal expenditures for the Highway Planning and Construction program grant number 05278 was understated by \$927,299 and the amount reported as passed through to subrecipients for the Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants program was overstated by \$793,806.

Current Status: This finding has been corrected in 2016.

FEDERAL AWARDS FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Finding 2015-003: Cash Management

Condition: Eight of the eighteen reimbursement requests selected for testing under the Highway Planning and Construction program contained invoices for allowable costs that were not paid to vendors within ten calendar days of the date of receipt from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Current Status: See current year finding 2016-001

Finding 2015-004: Earmarking

Condition: The City did not expend at least 80% of the grant award for grant B-13-MC-42-0002 in three years. For the first three years of this grant, the City expended approximately 28% of the grant award.

Current Status: See current year finding 2016-002

Finding 2015-005: Reporting

Condition: The City did not complete Form HUD 60002, *Section 3 Summary Report, Economic Opportunities for Low- and Very Low-Income Persons* for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Current Status: See current year finding 2016-003

Finding 2015-006: Reporting – Federal Financial Report

Condition: The City did not report accurate information on the Federal Financial Reports on any of the four quarters selected for testing.

Current Status: This finding has been corrected in 2016